1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		vrey.com) owrey.com)
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION	
12	BRIDGELUX, INC.,) Case No. 3:08-mc-80028 JSW (EDL)
13	Plaintiff and Counterclaim-) (Underlying Case No. 9:06-CV-00240-RHC,
14	Defendant,	pending in U.S.D.C., E.D. Tex., Lufkin Div.)
15	VS.	NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF BRIDGELUX, INC.'S MOTION TO QUASH
16	CREE, INC.,	CREE, INC.'S SUBPOENAS TO NON- PARTY PHILIPS LUMILEDS LIGHTING
17	Defendant and Counterclaim- Plaintiff.) COMPANY
18 19		Motion to Quash Filed: March 7, 2008 Hearing Date: April 22, 2008
20) Hearing Time: 9:00 a.m.
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28 Y LLP		
	NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF BRIDGELUX INC.'S MOTION TO QUASH CREE INC.'S SUBPOENA TO LUMILEDS	CASE NO. 3:08-MC-80028 JSW (EDL)

HOWREY

NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL BASED ON STIPULATION

This matter relates to a motion to quash subpoenas served in this district as part of a patent litigation currently pending in the Eastern District of Texas. The subpoenas were served by Defendant/ Counterclaim-Plaintiff Cree, Inc. ("Cree") on third-party Philips Lumileds Lighting Co. ("Lumileds"), which moved to quash. Plaintiff/ Counterclaim-Defendant BridgeLux, Inc. ("BridgeLux") also moved to quash and requested monetary sanctions.

Subsequently, Cree and BridgeLux reached an agreement in the underlying litigation. Based on that agreement, Cree has withdrawn its pending subpoenas to Lumileds, and Lumileds has withdrawn its motion to quash, as memorialized in the Stipulated Withdrawal of Lumiled's Motion to Quash attached as Exhibit A to the Declaration of Aaron Myers submitted herewith. BridgeLux and Cree then met and conferred, and agree that BridgeLux's motion to quash should be withdrawn as moot.

Dated: April 9, 2008 /s/ Aaron Myers

Aaron Myers HOWREY LLP 525 Market Street, Suite 3600 San Francisco, California 94105 myersa@howrey.com

Attorney for Plaintiff/Counterclaim-Defendant BRIDGELUX, INC.

21142571_

20

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

HOWREY LLP

į	I		
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9		o <u>m</u>) v.com) rey.com)	
11		SCO DIVISION	
12	BRIDGELUX, INC.,) Case No. 3:08-mc-80028 JSW (EDL)	
13	Plaintiff and Counterclaim- Defendant,	(Underlying Case No. 9:06-CV-00240-RHC, pending in U.S.D.C., E.D. Tex., Lufkin Div.)	
14 15	vs.	PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING	
16	CREE, INC.,	BRIDGELUX, INC.'S NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF ITS MOTION TO	
17	Defendant and Counterclaim-) QUASH CREE, INC.'S SUBPOENAS TO) NON-PARTY PHILIPS LUMILEDS	
18	Plaintiff.	LIGHTING COMPANY	
19		Motion to Quash Filed: March 7, 2008	
20		Hearing Date: April 22, 2008Hearing Time: 9:00 a.m.	
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
HOWREY LLP	[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING BRIDGELUX INC.'S NOTICE OF WITHDRAWAL OF ITS MOTION TO QUASH CREE INC.'S SUBPOENA TO LUMILEDS	CASE NO. 3:08-MC-80028 JSW (EDL)	

1	Pursuant to the Stipulated Withdrawal of Lumiled's Motion to Quash attached as Exhibit A to
2	the Declaration of Aaron Myers submitted in support of BridgeLux's Notice of Withdrawal of Motion
3	to Quash, showing that Cree has withdrawn its pending subpoenas to Lumileds, and that Lumileds has
4	withdrawn its motion to quash; and pursuant to the Notice of Withdrawal filed by BridgeLux, IT IS
5	ORDERED that BridgeLux's motion to quash is withdrawn as moot.
6	
7	Dated:
8	The Honorable Elizabeth Laporte United States Magistrate Judge
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
LLP	

HOWREY LLP